Novel anticonvulsants for reducing alcohol consumption: A review of evidence from preclinical rodent drinking models
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Abstract

Introduction

Alcohol use disorders (AUDs) are a major public health issue and have an enormous social and economic burden in developed, developing and third-world countries. Current pharmacotherapies for treating AUDs suffer from deleterious side effects and are only effective in preventing relapse in a subset of individuals. This signifies an essential need for improved medications to reduce heavy episodic drinking and alcohol-related problems. Growing literature has provided support for the use of anticonvulsants in suppressing symptoms induced by alcohol withdrawal. Emerging clinical and preclinical evidence suggests that a number of well-tolerated anticonvulsants may also decrease alcohol drinking. This review focuses on recent evidence supporting the efficacy of novel anticonvulsants in reducing voluntary alcohol consumption in rodent models.

Discussion

The data demonstrate that anticonvulsants reduce drinking in standard home cage two-bottle choice paradigms, self-administration of alcohol in operant chambers and cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol-seeking behaviours in rats and mice. This review also highlights evidence that some anticonvulsants were only moderately effective in reducing drinking in select strains of rodents or models. This suggests that genetics, possible neuroadaptations or the pharmacological target affect the ability of anticonvulsants to attenuate alcohol consumption. Nonetheless, anticonvulsants are relatively safe, have little abuse potential and can work in combination with other drugs.

Conclusion

The results from these preclinical and clinical studies provide compelling evidence that anticonvulsants are a promising class of medication for the treatment of AUDs. While there is clinical evidence indicating that some of these novel anticonvulsants are efficacious in reducing drinking in individuals with AUDs, the purpose of this review is to highlight emerging evidence on anticonvulsants in rodent models of alcohol drinking behaviour.

Discussion

The authors have referenced some of their own studies in this review. The protocols of these studies have been approved by the relevant ethics committees related to the institution in which they were performed. Animal care was in accordance with the institution guidelines.

Animal Models of Alcohol Consumption

A number of rodent strains and models have been used to study the effects of anticonvulsants on voluntary alcohol consumption and alcohol-seeking behaviours. In many cases, these studies utilized inbred and outbred lines of rats or mice that have been selectively bred to voluntarily drink high amounts of alcohol (e.g. alcohol-preferring P rats and...
high alcohol-prefering HAP mice) or naturally exhibit high levels of alcohol consumption (e.g. C57BL/6J mice). These studies also used a variety of rodent drinking models that reflect different aspects of AUDs. These models include an intermittent or continuous access paradigms involving two-bottle choice (alcohol vs. water) home cage drinking, oral self-administration in operant chambers, as well as relapse models such as stress- and cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol-seeking behaviour and drinking after a period of alcohol deprivation (the alcohol deprivation effect [ADE]). While rodents consumed a wide range of alcohol doses (3–20 g/kg) across a 24-h drinking session, blood alcohol concentrations (BACs) were not reported in the vast majority of these studies. The National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism’s Advisory Council defined binge drinking as reaching a BAC of 80 mg/dl or above within a 2-h period. Most of these models did not produce binge alcohol consumption or BACs that approached 80 mg/dl. Two studies did report that rats that consumed approximately 1.1 g/kg at 1 h into the drinking session had average BACs of 32–55 mg/dl. Thus, as a whole, the studies reviewed below evaluated the ability of anticonvulsants to reduce moderate amounts of alcohol consumption.

**Anticonvulsant Drug Administration**

The anticonvulsant drugs used in these studies were typically administered by oral gavage or intraperitoneal (IP) injection 0–120 min prior to the start of the drinking sessions. The majority of these studies administered the compounds 30 min before access to alcohol. For some of the 24-h drinking models, the amount of alcohol consumed was also determined at an intermediate time point into the drinking session (e.g. 3 or 6 h). In a few reports, the anticonvulsants and vehicle were administered using a longitudinal within-subject repeated measure experimental design. The majority of the studies tested the effects of acute administration of the anticonvulsant drugs on alcohol consumption, with a few testing the effect of chronic treatment on drinking. Findings from these studies are discussed below and are summarized in Table 1.

**Topiramate**

Topiramate is perhaps the most widely studied anticonvulsant drug in rodent models of alcohol drinking. A study by Gabriel and Cunningham was the first to examine the ability of topiramate to reduce alcohol intake in C57BL/6J mice. Increasing doses of topiramate administered daily immediately prior to alcohol access decreased preference for alcohol primarily through increased water intake. However, it was found that a dose of 25 mg/kg topiramate significantly elevated alcohol consumption, whereas 50 mg/kg decreased intake. In the same strain but using a different dosing pattern, repeated treatment (7 days) with a non-escalating dose of topiramate attenuated alcohol intake when it was administered 60 min prior to alcohol access. Topiramate also reduced stress-induced escalation of alcohol consumption and preference in C57BL/6J mice. In Warsaw high-prefering (WHP) and P rats, repeated treatment (5–14 days) with topiramate significantly diminished voluntary consumption and preference for 10% alcohol in a standard two-bottle choice paradigm. Tolerance to repeated administration was not observed in these studies, as topiramate was equally effective at reducing drinking throughout the treatment period. An additional study in P rats demonstrated that the combined effects of topiramate and ondansetron, a 5HT3 receptor antagonist, versus either compound alone decreased alcohol consumption. In contrast, treatment of Wistar rats with topiramate did not affect home cage drinking and modestly attenuated consumption of a 4.44% alcohol solution at the beginning of a 7-day treatment regime, but no effect was observed on days 2 through 7.

**Lamotrigine**

Lamotrigine is currently available in the United States and Europe for the treatment of epilepsy and bipolar disorder. Recently, Vengeliene et al. demonstrated that lamotrigine has potential for treatment of AUDs. Their studies show that treatment of Wistar rats with lamotrigine attenuated the ADE (increased consumption after a period of abstinence/deprivation)13,14. Using a drinkometer system, they also demonstrated that the normal pattern of alcohol intake was disrupted by the ADE. Rats increased their approaches to the drinking bottles during the first day of post-abstinence drinking over baseline conditions. Interestingly, lamotrigine significantly reduced the amount of alcohol consumed without affecting the drinking frequency or the number of approaches to the alcohol bottle. In addition, 5 but not 15 mg/kg lamotrigine attenuated cue-induced reinstatement responding, and the 15 mg/kg dose decreased home cage locomotor activity. An additional study showed that when 30 mg/kg lamotrigine was administered 4 h into a 48-h alcohol-withdrawal period, it failed to reduce consumption of an alcohol liquid diet in Sprague-Dawley rats.

**GABA Analogues**

Gabapentin and pregabalin have a similar structure to the amino acid γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA), but have potent anticonvulsants actions mediated through voltage-sensitive Ca2+channels. To date, there are two preclinical studies that have examined these compounds in rat drinking models, the first of which used two models (i.e. chronic alcohol vapour inhalation and an alcohol vapour inhalation and an alcohol...
liquid diet) to produce dependence in Wistar rats. These models have consistently been shown to produce an escalation of drinking in dependent rats and mice. Roberto et al. demonstrated that systemic administration as well as microinfusion of gabapentin into the central nucleus of the amygdala prevented alcohol dependence-induced escalation of drinking and attenuated operant responding for alcohol. Gabapentin did not alter responding for alcohol in non-dependent rats nor did it affect responding for water. The second preclinical study was an extensive examination of the effectiveness of pregabalin to reduce voluntary alcohol consumption, operant oral alcohol self-administration and stress and cue-induced reinstatement in alcohol preferring Marchigian Sardinian rats. After reaching stable baseline consumption in a standard two-bottle choice model, pregabalin was administered at 10, 30 or 60 mg/kg for five consecutive days. A significant reduction in alcohol consumption was observed on the first day of administration. Upon cessation of treatment, rats returned to pretreatment consumption levels. Following the administration of yohimbine, alcohol consumption decreased significantly.

### Table 1: Effects of anticonvulsants on alcohol consumption and alcohol-seeking behaviours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Alcohol Exposure Model</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Behavioural Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topiramate</td>
<td>C57BL/6J mice(^6,7) Two-bottle choice CAA</td>
<td>0–90 mg/kg (increasing weekly IP or repeated SC doses)</td>
<td>↓ Preference and consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C57BL/6J mice(^8) Stressed-induced drinking escalation</td>
<td>0–30 mg/kg (daily IP) for 5 days</td>
<td>↓ Escalation of consumption and preference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wistar, P and female WHP rats(^9–11) Two- or three-bottle choice CAA</td>
<td>0–80 mg/kg (IG or IP) up to 14 days</td>
<td>↓ Consumption and preference in P and WHP, but not in Wistar rats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamotrigine</td>
<td>Wistar rats(^13) Oral operant SA</td>
<td>0–15 mg/kg (IP) 30 min before test</td>
<td>↓ Cue-induced reinstatement for 5 mg/kg dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregabalin</td>
<td>Wistar rats(^13,14) Four-bottle choice ADE</td>
<td>0–15 mg/kg (repeated IP) during withdrawal</td>
<td>↓ ADE-induced escalation of drinking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rats(^15) Forced liquid diet</td>
<td>0 or 30 mg/kg (IP) during withdrawal</td>
<td>No change in consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabapentin</td>
<td>msP rats(^18) Two-bottle choice and oral operant SA</td>
<td>0–60 mg/kg (repeated IG)</td>
<td>↓ Consumption and operant responding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wistar rats(^17) Oral operant AS + dependence</td>
<td>0–120 mg/kg (IP) or 20 μg microinjection into the CeA</td>
<td>↓ Dependence-induced escalation of operant responding for both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viagabatrin</td>
<td>Wistar or AA rats(^4,19) Two- or three-bottle choice</td>
<td>0–500 mg/kg (IP)</td>
<td>↓ Consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C57BL/6J mice(^20) Oral operant SA and two-bottle choice</td>
<td>0–600 mg/kg (SC)</td>
<td>↓ Consumption and operant responding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorzoxazone</td>
<td>Wistar rats(^5) Two-bottle choice IAA and CAA</td>
<td>0–50 mg/kg (IP)</td>
<td>↓ Consumption and preference in IAA only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levetiracetam</td>
<td>WHP rats(^27) Two-bottle choice CAA</td>
<td>0–80 mg/kg (repeated IG)</td>
<td>↓ Consumption and preference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C57BL/6J mice(^28) 2 g/kg or 3 g/kg alcohol (IG)</td>
<td>0–100 mg/kg (IP)</td>
<td>Prevented change in self-stimulation of the medial forebrain bundle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carisbamate</td>
<td>P rat(^29) Two-bottle choice CAA and ADE</td>
<td>0–90 mg/kg (IG)</td>
<td>↓ Consumption and withdrawal-induced escalation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonisamide</td>
<td>Wistar rats and C57BL/B6NHsd mice(^15) 1–2 h limited access</td>
<td>0–50 mg/kg (repeated IP)</td>
<td>↓ Consumption in rats and mice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAA, continuous alcohol access; IP, intraperitoneal; SC, subcutaneous; SA, self-administration; WHP, Warsaw high preferring; IG, intragastrically; P, alcohol preferring; IAA, intermitted alcohol access; ADE, alcohol deprivation effect; msP, Marchigian Sardinian preferring; CeA, central nucleus of the amygdala; AA, alko alcohol.
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a pharmacological stressor that reinstates alcohol-seeking behaviours after extinction, pregabalin decreased operant responding for alcohol. Treatment with pregabalin also significantly reduced cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol-seeking behaviour. Similar to gabapentin and pregabalin, vigabatrin (gamma-vinyl-GABA) is an analogue of GABA. However, vigabatrin influences excitability by inhibiting GABA transaminase. Two studies that used a standard choice model demonstrated that vigabatrin reduced alcohol consumption in alcohol-prefering AA and Wistar rats. More recently, Griffin et al. reported that vigabatrin decreased operant responding for alcohol as well as home cage drinking in C57BL/6J mice. Interestingly, vigabatrin increased food and water intake during treatment, indicating a selective effect in reducing ethanol reinforcement. It was noted that vigabatrin also enhanced the discriminative stimulus effect of alcohol and slightly increased BACs in these mice.

**K$_{Ca}$2 Channel-Positive Modulators**

K$_{Ca}$2 channel-positive modulators are effective in increasing seizure threshold and reducing hyperexcitability in *in vivo* and *in vitro* models. Accumulating evidence suggests that chronic alcohol-associated neuroadaptations in K$_{Ca}$2 channels may contribute to high rates of alcohol consumption and increased alcohol withdrawal severity. Accordingly, these data suggest that K$_{Ca}$2 channel-positive modulators (i.e. 1-EBIO, chlorzoxazone and CyPPA) may be novel pharmacotherapies for reducing alcohol drinking. Hopf et al. first reported that microinfusion of 1-EBIO into the nucleus accumbens of Wistar rats reduced operant responding for alcohol. They next examined the ability of chlorzoxazone to reduce drinking in a standard two-bottle choice drinking model. Chlorzoxazone is an FDA-approved centrally acting medication for treating muscle spasms that also activates recombinant K$_{Ca}$2 channels. Systemic administration of chlorzoxazone significantly reduced alcohol consumption and preference in rats with intermittent but not continuous access to alcohol. However, 1-EBIO and chlorzoxazone have off-target actions that confound interpretation of these findings. In a pilot study, we examined the ability of systemic administration of CyPPA (15 or 30 mg/kg in 5% Cremophor (v/v), 10 ml/kg, IP) to reduce voluntary drinking in an intermittent long-access (24 h) two-bottle choice model that is associated with heavy alcohol consumption in C57BL/6J mice. Consistent with published findings, we observed an escalation in voluntary consumption that reached a stable baseline after five to six drinking sessions (Figure 1a). Administration of CyPPA (30 mg/kg) 30 min prior to alcohol access significantly reduced the amount of alcohol consumed (Figure 1b) and preference (Figure 1c) for alcohol at the 6-h and 24-h time points. CyPPA (30 mg/kg) did not affect the total volume
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dose dependently decreased alcohol drinking and preference for alcohol without affecting food or water intake. Chronic administration of carisbamate significantly decreased alcohol intake and preference for alcohol across the entire 14-day treatment period. However, partial tolerance developed, and rats treated with carisbamate consumed significantly more alcohol on days 10–14 when compared with the first two days of treatment. Carisbamate completely prevented the ADE, whereas naltrexone administration only partially reduced the increase of alcohol consumption induced by forced abstinence. Interestingly, neither drug affected saccharin preference.

Zonisamide
To our knowledge, there is only one preclinical study that has examined the ability of zonisamide to reduce alcohol drinking. Knapp et al. used a limited-access design in Wistar rats and C57BL/B6NHsd mice and compared the ability of zonisamide and topiramate 10-day treatment regimens to decrease consumption. For the first 5 days of treatment, rodents were administered 25 mg/kg, and the dose was increased to 50 mg/kg for the next 5 days. While the high dose of topiramate modestly reduced drinking in rats, treatment with 50 mg/kg zonisamide produced a more robust decrease in alcohol consumption. Similarly, these authors also reported a significant reduction in drinking when mice were administered the high dose of zonisamide. However, drinking levels in rats and mice quickly returned to baseline levels once zonisamide treatment was discontinued. Chronic treatment of zonisamide did not induce weight loss in rats and only slightly increased weight loss in mice.

Future Considerations
As discussed above, the vast majority of the preclinical studies have shown that treatment...
In support of the results from preclinical models, there is a growing literature supporting the use of anticonvulsants for AUDs in clinical studies1,3,14. Despite the efficacy in the majority of preclinical and clinical studies, several recent trials have reported that some anticonvulsants (i.e. lamotrigine, levetiracetam) do not reduce heavy drinking or prevent relapse12,13. Furthermore, levetiracetam actually increased consumption in self-reported moderate drinkers34. The inconsistency in the literature suggests that individuals respond differently to some medications, though the reason for this is unclear and deserves further consideration. Compared with single-drug medications, combinations of therapeutic agents may be more efficacious for treating AUDs11. Anticonvulsants might be considered adjunctive therapy and be prescribed with therapies such as naltrexone or acamprosate, which are FDA approved for treatment of AUDs. Interestingly, anticonvulsants appear to reduce alcohol consumption and relapse by affecting novel and sometimes multiple molecular targets. These molecular targets may regulate neuroadaptations that are critical for driving uncontrolled drinking and relapse. Another contributing factor relates to the fact that anticonvulsants have the capacity to alleviate some withdrawal symptoms, and this, in turn, may reduce the negative reinforcing effects of alcohol. Alternatively, anticonvulsants may re-establish the homeostasis of the reward neurocircuitry. Of course, none of these possibilities are mutually exclusive, and it is likely that depending on the drug and treatment regimen, a number of factors may play a role in mediating therapeutic effects (i.e. reduction of excessive and risky drinking). Further work is necessary to determine the molecular targets that are important for decreasing drinking and reducing relapse vulnerability.
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**Conclusion**

While there is ample evidence indicating that use of anticonvulsants is relatively safe in individuals suffering with AUDs, many of these drugs also have considerable side effects, such as sedation, cognitive impairments and weight loss. Thus, additional research aimed at achieving an appropriate balance between maximizing therapeutic efficacy and minimizing untoward effects of anticonvulsants is critical for advancing these compounds in clinical trials and ultimately use in the clinical management of AUDs. In sum, there is a growing body of preclinical literature indicating that numerous anticonvulsant agents are not only effective in treating symptoms of alcohol withdrawal during periods of abstinence but these drugs may also be effective in reducing alcohol consumption in various rodent models of alcohol self-administration. There is a clear need for more preclinical and clinical studies to address these important issues because anticonvulsants appear to be a promising class of compounds that warrant further exploration for treating individuals with AUDs.
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