

Oral presentation

Open Access

Fluorescence kinetics of Foscan, Fospeg and Foslip in the window-chamber model

Sebastiaan De Visscher¹, Dominic J Robinson², Slávka Kašćáková², Riette de Bruijn², Angelique van der Poeg², Henricus JCM Sterenborg², Jan LN Roodenburg¹ and Max JH Witjes*¹

Address: ¹Department of Oral and Maxillofacial surgery, University Medical Centre Groningen (UMCG), The Netherlands and ²Centre of optical diagnosis and therapy, Erasmus University Rotterdam, The Netherlands

* Corresponding author

from 1st Scientific Meeting of the Head and Neck Optical Diagnostics Society
London, UK. 14 March 2009

Published: 28 July 2009

Head & Neck Oncology 2009, 1(Suppl 1):O18 doi:10.1186/1758-3284-1-S1-O18

This abstract is available from: <http://www.headandneckoncology.org/content/1/S1/O18>

© 2009 De Visscher et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

Introduction

Foslip and Fospeg are new formulations of the photosensitizer m-THPC, intended for use in Photodynamic Therapy (PDT) of malignancies. Foslip is m-THPC bound to conventional liposomes, Fospeg consists of m-THPC bound to pegylated liposomes. Possible differences in tumour-fluorescence and vasculature kinetics between Foslip, Fospeg and Foscan were studied using the rat window-chamber model.

Materials and methods

In 18 rats a dorsal skinfold window-chamber was installed and a mammary carcinoma was transplanted in the subcutaneous tissue. The dosage used for intravenous injection was 0.15 mg of m-THPC for each formulation. At 7 time-points after injection (5 minutes – 96 hours), mTHPC-fluorescence at its absorption-peak and auto-fluorescence were detected with a CCD. After correction, m-THPC fluorescence images were achieved. Fluorescence intensities of 3 different regions of interest (ROI) were assessed; tumour-tissue, vasculature and surrounding connective tissue.

Results

Shortly after injection vascular m-THPC fluorescence was high for Foscan and Fospeg but not for Foslip. The latter showed a gradual increase in fluorescence. All photosensitizers showed different fluorescence intensity curves in

time. Fospeg had higher m-THPC fluorescence in tumour tissue ($p < 0.05$) between 2 and 8 hours and showed this trend at later time-points compared to the other photosensitizers. Maximum tumour fluorescence is reached at 48 hours for Foslip and 24 hours for Foscan and Fospeg. No photosensitizer showed a significant difference between the tumour and surrounding tissue fluorescence.

Conclusion

There are differences in fluorescence intensities of Fospeg, Foslip and Foscan at all time-points. Pegylated liposomes showed higher uptake in tumour. No photosensitizer showed tumour-selectivity.