



Treating end-stage liver diseases with mesenchymal stem cells: an oak is not felled at one stroke

Z Li¹, C He¹, J Xiao^{1,2,*}, ZY Chen^{1,*}

Abstract

Introduction

Currently, orthotopic liver transplantation is the only effective therapy for end-stage liver diseases, including acute liver failure, cirrhosis and liver cancer. However, the shortage of donor liver severely limits its application. The advent of the technology of turning mesenchymal stem cells from adult tissues into liver cells has opened the possibility of obtaining transplantable hepatocytes without donor livers and raised much interest in the field of hepatology. In this review, we summarized recent advances in using mesenchymal stem cells as a therapeutic strategy for treating liver diseases.

Conclusion

For the acute liver failure, many studies have demonstrated promising results. However, for the treatment of cirrhosis and liver cancer, the results do vary. Therefore, further studies are warranted before considering mesenchymal stem cells for active use in clinical applications.

Introduction

The discovery of stem cells and their disease-treatment potential have provided hope for many patients with 'incurable disease'. Embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), both pluripotent cells and capable of maturing into all types of human cells, promise great potential in the treatment

of human diseases. However, there have always been ethical and tumorigenic issues associated with their use. Recently, therapeutic applications of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) has become a hot topic in translational medicine with the emergence of technology to convert them directly into a variety of cell types without a pluripotent stem cell in the intermediate stage. Compared to ESCs and iPSCs, MSCs have the beauty of simplicity and may be more suited to clinical application. Some specific advantages of MSCs, also referred to as stromal stem cells or adult stem cells or somatic stem cells, include the following: (a) both autologous and allogeneic MSCs can be obtained conveniently. These cells are heterogeneous populations residing in almost all tissues, including bone marrow, umbilical cord, placenta, adipose tissue, peripheral blood, muscle and so on. (b) They are multipotent cells and have the capacity of directly maturing into many cell types, including hepatocytes, osteocytes, chondrocytes, adipocytes, myocytes and nerve cells¹. (c) They are highly proliferative and self-renewable so that can be easily expanded *in vitro*. (d) There are ethical issues associated with their use unlike the case of embryo-derived ESCs. (e) These differ from iPSCs, the generation of which requires intensive manipulation *in vitro*, a procedure inherent with risks resulting in harmful genetic and epigenetic alterations. MSCs are much safer and cheaper because they can be induced to become specific cell types without much treatment before application and, in specific circumstances, even untreated MSCs can mature to compensate for lost cells at the damaged

sites of tissues². (f) Derived from the patient's own body, autologous MSCs can avoid the graft-versus-host diseases, a major concern in ESCs and iPSCs therapy. Even in allogeneic MSCs settings, the immune incompatibility may not be a serious problem. It has been shown that MSCs are low in expressing major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-I, the molecule presenting the cellular antigen to mediate the immune rejection reaction of allogeneic transplants. Furthermore, the expression levels of co-stimulatory molecules of T-cell-mediated graft rejection—the CD40, CD80 and CD86—are extremely low on the surface of MSCs. Consequently, the immunogenicity of MSCs is quite low, making them easier to evade the host immune surveillance³.

Liver is one of the most important organs in the human body. It performs a series of vital physiological functions, including lipid storage, digestion, detoxification and innate immunity regulation. Currently, the only effective clinical therapy for end-stage liver diseases (e.g. acute liver failure, cirrhosis and liver cancer) is orthotopic liver transplantation. However, several defects, such as surgical complexity, immunological rejection, low survival rate and particularly the shortage of donor liver, preclude it from active use in clinics⁴. MSCs provide a new and convenient solution. They have been used in treating diseases in cardiovascular systems, liver, bone, cartilage and skin in a series of preclinical and clinical studies⁵. In the current review, we mainly focus on the recent progress in the use of MSCs to treat end-stage liver diseases along with discussion in mechanisms, problems and possible solutions.

*Corresponding author

Email: txiao@jnu.edu.cn; zy.chen1@siat.ac.cn

¹Centre for Gene and Cell Engineering, Shenzhen Institute of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenzhen 518055, China

²Department of Immunobiology, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, China

Discussion

Use of MSCs in treating acute hepatic failure

Liver failure is a common and life-threatening disease, usually caused by virus infection or toxic chemical ingestion. Acute liver failure is characterized by the death of a large number of parenchymal hepatocytes that occurs in a short period of time and rapid disease progression. The rate of mortality due to acute liver failure is very high, ranging from 60% to 80%⁶. Multiple pre-clinical studies have shown that MSCs have a clear therapeutic effect in acute liver failure. Using a carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄)-induced lethal fulminant hepatic failure mice model, for example, it was repeatedly shown that transplantation of MSCs could improve hepatic histology, promote liver regeneration, and significantly increase survival rate⁷. MSCs have the tendency to accumulate in the damaged area. In a CCl₄-induced mouse acute liver injury model, Cho *et al.* found that almost all of the delivered MSCs were recruited to the damaged areas of the liver with few seen in other organs, suggesting that host cells in the damaged areas were able to produce the recruiting signals⁸. Hong *et al.* observed a similar phenomenon⁹. MSCs may play a therapeutic role via two mechanisms: (a) to differentiate directly into parenchymal hepatocytes to compensate for the loss of their counterparts, and (b) to secrete protective and nourished factors that prevent progressive apoptosis of functional cells and stimulate replication of host cells⁹. The direct conversion of MSCs into hepatocyte-like cells has been repeatedly demonstrated, but there is evidence suggesting that this does not account for all the therapeutic effects of MSCs. Kuo *et al.*, for example, showed that the quantity of the combination of both engrafted MSCs and MSC-derived hepatocytes accounted for only about 1% to 3% of total liver cells in the damaged areas, suggesting that MSCs might also play

therapeutic roles through alternative mechanisms, for example, generating cellular factors that are capable of speeding up the liver recovery¹⁰.

MSCs therapy in liver cirrhosis

Chronic liver injury often causes liver fibrosis, cirrhosis and cancer. Liver cirrhosis has been considered an irreversible disease since it is nearly impossible to reverse the fibrotic changes with conventional treatments¹¹. In recent times, research has witnessed a marked change in this perspective. There are evidences suggesting that the transplantation of stem cell is able to reduce the fibrotic index, a consequence of dissolving the existing fibrosis, and improve liver function. In a clinical study, for example, Peterson *et al.* demonstrated that bone-marrow-derived MSCs could migrate into the cirrhotic liver and differentiate into hepatocytes¹². In another clinical study, Terai *et al.* reported that 24 weeks after receiving therapy of bone-marrow-derived MSCs a group of nine patients with liver cirrhosis demonstrated significant improvement in serum albumin production and cirrhosis severity, as measured by child score¹³.

Currently, the mechanism of MSC-mediated improvement in liver function and cirrhosis severity is not fully understood. The following mechanisms are proposed: (a) MSCs differentiate into parenchymal hepatocytes to improve liver function. It is estimated that approximately $2-3 \times 10^{10}$ healthy parenchymal hepatocytes are needed to maintain normal function of an adult liver. In severe fibrotic or cirrhotic livers, the number of hepatocytes is significantly reduced. Trans-differentiation of donor MSCs to become parenchymal hepatocytes has been repeatedly demonstrated^{2,14,15}. In an attempt to reveal the underlying mechanism, Prosser *et al.* demonstrated that MSCs were able to produce hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), which in turn could promote the MSCs to undergo the process of

trans-differentiation into parenchymal hepatocyte¹⁶. (b) MSCs prevent the liver from undergoing fibrogenesis via secreting a variety of cytokines, such as HGF, interleukin (IL)-6 and -10 and so on. Hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) play a key role in hepatic fibrosis, for they are the major cells that produce various collagens and are major components of the intracellular matrix. These cellular factors may inhibit hepatic fibrosis through multiple mechanisms. It is suggested that, for example, in addition to its capacity to promote transition of MSCs into hepatocytes, HGF can suppress HSCs by blocking the phosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) and inhibiting the proliferation of α -smooth muscle actin (α -SMA) positive cells¹⁷. HGF may also play a beneficial role in suppressing production of transforming growth factor (TGF)- β , the main player in the pathway activating the HSCs^{16,17}. IL-6 and IL-10 may attenuate hepatic fibrosis through suppression of HSCs¹⁸⁻²⁰. (c) MSCs may dissolve fibrosis directly. There was evidence suggesting that MSCs were able to produce the matrix metalloproteinase (MMPs), an enzyme capable of degrading the extracellular matrix, which alleviates hepatic cirrhosis directly²¹.

However, there is still a long way to go before MSC therapy can achieve significant improvement in both function and histology of liver cirrhosis. As pointed out in a recent Hepatology review article, cirrhotic environment is not conducive for maturation of MSCs into hepatocytes and this, together with the presence of excessive fibre tissue, may become a serious obstacle that may extract a lot of effort from researchers involved and potentially thwart successful outcomes in stem cell therapy²².

MSCs therapy in liver cancer

Liver cancer, the most frequent form of which is hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), is the end-state of many chronic liver diseases. Till date, there

are only a limited number of trials that used MSCs to treat HCC and the results are mixed. Some studies showed that MSCs could directly suppress tumour cell proliferation and reduce tumour growth. In contrast, others found that MSCs also have tumour-promoting properties.

There are evidences suggesting that MSCs have the tendency to accumulate in tumour tissues. In a melanoma model, Studeny *et al.* demonstrated that donor MSCs preferentially accumulated in tumour sites²³. In another study, Beckermann *et al.* found that MSCs dominantly resided in the pancreatic tumour tissue²⁴. MSCs may inhibit tumour growth through multiple mechanisms. It has been shown that MSCs could inhibit leukemic cell proliferation by secreting dickkopf-1, which acts as a negative regulator of the WNT signalling pathway to inhibit the proliferation of cancer cells²⁵. In a Kaposi's sarcoma experiment, it was demonstrated that MSCs could inhibit the PI3K/Akt pathway, resulting in the suppression of tumour cell proliferation²⁶. Results from a set of *in vitro* experiments demonstrated that MSCs could inhibit tumour cell proliferation and increase their apoptosis. Qiao *et al.* reported that, in the presence of MSCs, the expression of c-myc, Bcl-2 and proliferating cell nuclear antigens (PCNA) of hepatoma cells was downregulated, leading to a reduced tumour cell proliferation rate and increased apoptosis²⁷. MSCs also induce production of cell-cycle negative-regulator protein p21 and apoptotic executioner enzyme caspase-3, which results, in tumour cells' arrest at the G0/G1 stage and their apoptosis subsequently²⁸. In a rat HCC model, it was shown that administration of MSCs inhibited tumour growth, with improved liver histology and function²⁹.

In contrast to the beneficial effects, however, adverse effects of MSCs on multiple tumour models have also been reported. It has been found that in tumour tissues MSCs could

differentiate into myofibroblasts-like cells, which have been proven to play a crucial role in tumour promotion through multiple mechanisms, including promotion of tumour stroma remodelling and tumour invasiveness³⁰. It was demonstrated that when exposed to a tumour-conditioned medium over a long period MSCs gained a myofibroblastic phenotype as evidenced by an expression of carcinoma-associated markers, including stroma-derived factor-1 (SDF-1), α -SMA and fibroblast surface protein³¹. In addition to the myofibroblast pathway, MSCs may also promote tumour growth via immunosuppressive effects. In a sarcoma model, it was found that the host anti-tumour functions were suppressed by expanded clones of CD4⁺ CD25⁺ T-regulatory lymphocytes derived from the bone-marrow-derived donor MSCs³². In an engraft melanoma tumour model, it was found that B16 melanoma cells in allogeneic recipients grew only when MSCs were co-injected, which did not happen in the absence of co-injection. These effects were primarily attributed to the immunosuppressive effects of allogeneic T-regulatory lymphocytes derived from donor MSCs³³. In a clinical study on liver cancer, it was found that application of MSCs enhanced tumour growth but significantly inhibited the invasiveness and metastasis of HCC cells, indicating the effects of MSCs in controlling the metastatic recurrence but not the growth of primary HCC³⁴.

Conclusion

The capability of MSCs from multiple sources to undergo trans-differentiation and maturation into hepatocyte-like cells has laid down the solid foundation for application of MSCs as an alternative to donor liver in order to meet the high demand in transplantable hepatocytes. Many clinical and preclinical studies have been conducted in the use of MSCs for treating end-stage liver diseases with some staged successes. Promising

results were seen in treatment of acute liver failure and cirrhosis, although optimization in the selection of MSCs sources and culture conditions (e.g. method of administration and dosage) is needed. In the treatment of liver cancer, however, mixed results were seen. Upon application of MSCs, both inhibition and promotion of tumour growth and invasion and metastasis were seen. A possible solution may be the combination of MSCs and gene therapy. By exploiting the feature of their intendance to accumulate at the tumour site, it may be possible to use MSCs to express anti-tumour gene products inside tumours and cure them.

Abbreviations list

CCl₄, carbon tetrachloride; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HGF, hepatocyte growth factor; HSCs, hepatic stellate cells; IL, interleukin; MHC, major histocompatibility complex; MSCs, mesenchymal stem cells; PCNA, proliferating cell nuclear antigen; SDF, stromal-derived factor; SMA, smooth muscle actin; TGF, transforming growth factor; TNF, tumour necrosis factor.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Mr Yi Hou for his critical review of the manuscript.

References

- Pittenger MF, Mackay AM, Beck SC, Jaiswal RK, Douglas R, Mosca JD, et al. Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Science*. 1999 Apr;284(5411):143–7.
- Campard D, Lysy PA, Najimi M, Sokal EM. Native umbilical cord matrix stem cells express hepatic markers and differentiate into hepatocyte-like cells. *Gastroenterology*. 2008 Mar;134(3):833–48.
- Young HE, Steele TA, Bray RA, Detmer K, Blake LW, Lucas PW, et al. Human pluripotent and progenitor cells display cell surface cluster differentiation markers CD10, CD13, CD56, and MHC class-I. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med*. 1999 May;221(1):63–71.

4. Cholongitas E, Marelli L, Shusang V, Senzolo M, Rolles K, Patch D, et al. A systematic review of the performance of the model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) in the setting of liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl*. 2006 Jul;12(7):1049–61.
5. Uccelli A, Moretta L, Pistoia V. Mesenchymal stem cells in health and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2008 Sep;8(9):726–36.
6. Khan SA, Shah N, Williams R, Jalan R. Acute liver failure: a review. *Clin Liver Dis*. 2006 May;10(2):239–58, vii–viii.
7. Moslem M, Valojerdi MR, Pournasr B, Muhammadnejad A, Baharvand H. Therapeutic potential of human-induced pluripotent stem cell-derived mesenchymal stem cells in mice with lethal fulminant hepatic failure. *Cell Transplant* 2013, Feb. [Epub ahead of print]
8. Cho KA, Ju SY, Cho SJ, Jung YJ, Woo SY, Seoh JY, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells showed the highest potential for the regeneration of injured liver tissue compared with other subpopulations of the bone marrow. *Cell Biol Int*. 2009 Jul;33(7):772–7.
9. Hong ZF, Huang XJ, Yin ZY, Zhao WX, Wang XM. Immunosuppressive function of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells on acute rejection of liver allografts in rats. *Transplant Proc*. 2009 Jan–Feb;41(1):403–9.
10. Kuo TK, Hung SP, Chuang CH, Chen CT, Shih YR, Fang SC, et al. Stem cell therapy for liver disease: parameters governing the success of using bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. *Gastroenterology*. 2008 Jun;134(7):2111–21, 2121.e1–3.
11. Schuppan D, Afdhal NH. Liver cirrhosis. *Lancet*. 2008 Mar;371(9615):838–51.
12. Petersen BE, Bowen WC, Patrene KD, Mars WM, Sullivan AK, Murase N, et al. Bone marrow as a potential source of hepatic oval cells. *Science*. 1999 May;284(5417):1168–70.
13. Terai S, Ishikawa T, Omori K, Aoyama K, Marumoto Y, Urata Y, et al. Improved liver function in patients with liver cirrhosis after autologous bone marrow cell infusion therapy. *Stem Cells*. 2006 Oct;24(10):2292–8.
14. Seki A, Sakai Y, Komura T, Nasti A, Yoshida K, Higashimoto M, et al. Adipose tissue-derived stem cells as a regenerative therapy for a murine steatohepatitis-induced cirrhosis model. *Hepatology*. 2013 Sep;58(3):1133–42.
15. Sakaida I, Terai S, Nishina H, Okita K. Development of cell therapy using autologous bone marrow cells for liver cirrhosis. *Med Mol Morphol*. 2005 Dec;38(4):197–202.
16. Prosser CC, Yen RD, Wu J. Molecular therapy for hepatic injury and fibrosis: where are we? *World J Gastroenterol*. 2006 Jan;12(4):509–15.
17. Kim WH, Matsumoto K, Bessho K, Nakamura T. Growth inhibition and apoptosis in liver myofibroblasts promoted by hepatocyte growth factor leads to resolution from liver cirrhosis. *Am J Pathol*. 2005 Apr;166(4):1017–28.
18. Parekkadan B, van Poll D, Megeed Z, Kobayashi N, Tilles AW, Berthiaume F, et al. Immunomodulation of activated hepatic stellate cells by mesenchymal stem cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2007 Nov;363(2):247–52.
19. Nasir GA, Mohsin S, Khan M, Shams S, Ali G, Khan SN, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells and interleukin-6 attenuate liver fibrosis in mice. *J Transl Med*. 2013 Mar;11:78.
20. Suh YG, Kim JK, Byun JS, Yi HS, Lee YS, Eun HS, et al. CD11b(+) Gr1(+) bone marrow cells ameliorate liver fibrosis by producing interleukin-10 in mice. *Hepatology*. 2012 Nov;56(5):1902–12.
21. Hardjo M, Miyazaki M, Sakaguchi M, Masaka T, Ibrahim S, Kataoka K, et al. Suppression of carbon tetrachloride-induced liver fibrosis by transplantation of a clonal mesenchymal stem cell line derived from rat bone marrow. *Cell Transplant*. 2009;18(1):89–99.
22. Rountree CB, Mishra L, Willenbring H. Stem cells in liver diseases and cancer: recent advances on the path to new therapies. *Hepatology*. 2012 Jan;55(1):298–306.
23. Studeny M, Marini FC, Champlin RE, Zompetta C, Fidler IJ, Andreeff M. Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells as vehicles for interferon-beta delivery into tumors. *Cancer Res*. 2002 Jul;62(13):3603–8.
24. Beckermann BM, Kallifatidis G, Groth A, Frommhold D, Apel A, Mattern J, et al. VEGF expression by mesenchymal stem cells contributes to angiogenesis in pancreatic carcinoma. *Br J Cancer*. 2008 Aug;99(4):622–31.
25. Zhu Y, Sun Z, Han Q, Liao L, Wang J, Bian C, et al. Human mesenchymal stem cells inhibit cancer cell proliferation by secreting DKK-1. *Leukemia*. 2009 May;23(5):925–33.
26. Khakoo AY, Pati S, Anderson SA, Reid W, Elshal MF, Rovira II, et al. Human mesenchymal stem cells exert potent antitumorigenic effects in a model of Kaposi's sarcoma. *J Exp Med* 2006, 203(5):1235–47.
27. Qiao L, Xu Z, Zhao T, Zhao Z, Shi M, Zhao RC, et al. Suppression of tumorigenesis by human mesenchymal stem cells in a hepatoma model. *Cell Res*. 2008 Apr;18(4):500–7.
28. Lu YR, Yuan Y, Wang XJ, Wei LL, Chen YN, Cong C, et al. The growth inhibitory effect of mesenchymal stem cells on tumor cells in vitro and in vivo. *Cancer Biol Ther*. 2008 Feb;7(2):245–51.
29. Abdel aziz MT, El Asmar MF, Atta HM, Mahfouz S, Fouad HH, Roshdy NK, et al. Efficacy of mesenchymal stem cells in suppression of hepatocarcinogenesis in rats: possible role of Wnt signaling. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res*. 2011 May;30:49.
30. Bagley RG, Weber W, Rouleau C, Yao M, Honma N, Kataoka S, et al. Human mesenchymal stem cells from bone marrow express tumor endothelial and stromal markers. *Int J Oncol*. 2009 Mar;34(3):619–27.
31. Mishra PJ, Mishra PJ, Humeniuk R, Medina DJ, Alexe G, Mesirov JP, et al. Carcinoma-associated fibroblast-like differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells. *Cancer Res*. 2008 Jun;68(11):4331–9.
32. Tasso R, Augello A, Carida' M, Postiglione F, Tibiletti MG, Bernasconi B, et al. Development of sarcomas in mice implanted with mesenchymal stem cells seeded onto bioscaffolds. *Carcinogenesis*. 2009 Jan;30(1):150–7.
33. Djouad F, Plence P, Bony C, Tropel P, Apparailly F, Sany J, et al. Immunosuppressive effect of mesenchymal stem cells favors tumor growth in allogeneic animals. *Blood*. 2003 Nov;102(10):3837–44.
34. Li GC, Ye QH, Xue YH, Sun HJ, Zhou HJ, Ren N, et al. Human mesenchymal stem cells inhibit metastasis of a hepatocellular carcinoma model using the MHCC97-H cell line. *Cancer Sci*. 2010 Dec;101(12):2546–53.